

Consistency Review

Updated 3/2/2021

SCHOOL

All

PAQC

All

Prog

All

SharePoint List

Rating Guide

Acad Prog	Programme Title	Review Date	Next Review Year (estimate)	General Preparation	Progress of submission	Consistency Review outcome	Management of follow up	Rating Notes
NZCRE	New Zealand Certificate in Real Estate (Salesperson)	2/24/2020		Good	Previous rating system did not rate this	Excellent	Good	20210219: PAQC received NZQA consistency review report approved action plan on 3 Aug 2020. Update on action to be reported for the next QAB meeting.
NZCLD	New Zealand Certificate in Horticulture Services (Landscape Design)	3/17/2020		Good	Previous rating system did not rate this	Excellent	Marginal	20201120: Submitted to PAQC 3 Aug 2020 however goals not SMART. This is to be followed up at a PAQC meeting on 5 November however Senior Lecturer was not in attendance and therefore this has been carried over to the next meeting. 20210218: Progress report shows Action Item 1 - in progress tracking is occurring Ad hoc Action Item 2 - acknowledgement that the goal is not SMART
NZCME	New Zealand Certificate in Mechanical Engineering	7/20/2020		Marginal	Good	Excellent	Good	20210218: NZQA Report and Action Plans tabled at PAQC 11/11/2020. Draft minutes yet to be approved. The Lead Lecturer confirms action plans are being fulfilled.
NZCR	New Zealand Certificate in Retail	8/2/2020		Marginal	Good	Good	Not applicable	20210218: Programme has no students and is discontinuing therefore no SMART goals were made.
NZCSP	New Zealand Certificate in Study and Career Preparation (Level 4)	9/6/2020		Marginal	Marginal	Excellent	Good	20210218: Report and SMART goals approved at PAQC Nov 2021. Progress on SMART goals is awaiting the lecturer to return from sick leave and should be reported in the next QAB.
NZCAE	New Zealand Certificate Automotive Engineering	9/13/2020		Marginal	Marginal	Good	Good	20210218: NZQA Report and Action Plans tabled at PAQC 11/11/2020. Draft minutes yet to be approved. Evidence action plans are being fulfilled.
NZCE4	New Zealand Certificate in English Language (Academic) (Level 4)	11/23/2020		Good	Excellent	Excellent	In progress to PAQC	
NZCE5	New Zealand Certificate in English Language (Academic) (Level 5)	2/1/2021		Good	Good			
NZCSR	New Zealand Certificate in Sport and Recreation (Community Development) (Level 5)	2/18/2021		Not Applicable	Not Applicable	Not Applicable	Not applicable	20210222: Not applicable as no graduates within the consistency review reporting period.
NZCCO	New Zealand Certificate in Sport Coaching	5/20/2021		Not Applicable	Not Applicable	Not Applicable	Not applicable	20210222: Not applicable as no graduates within the consistency review reporting period.

Consistency Review - Evaluation Rubric

as at

2-Mar-21

Rating	Criteria to evaluate the sufficiency of evidence that exists on an ongoing basis to support a consistency review	Criteria to evaluate submission progress	Criteria to evaluate the NZQA published outcome	Criteria to evaluate the programmes management of follow up actions
Excellent	<p>External and internal moderation provides sufficient evidence in validating the achievement of outcomes</p> <p>AND</p> <p>Evidence has been/is being collected and analysed from graduates/end users for three or more iterations (where possible)</p> <p>AND</p> <p>The Programme Leadership/ programme team has a good understanding of Consistency Review process and are monitoring evidence for consistency.</p> <p>AND</p> <p>The graduates/end users evidence has sample sizes that are statistically valid</p> <p>AND</p> <p>Evidence from graduates/next users includes relevance of GPOs</p>	Ahead of agreed timeline	Outcome is sufficient and meets rubric criteria and practices used are exemplified in the NZQA report	<p>The NZQA Report and the action plan have been submitted to the PAQC</p> <p>AND</p> <p>the actions required to manage gaps in self-assessment capability of the programme are SMART, minor or not required</p> <p>AND</p> <p>actions disseminate beneficial practices</p> <p>AND (Final Action)</p> <p>Actions are on track / completed in accordance with the action plan.</p>
Good	<p>External and internal moderation provides sufficient evidence in validating the achievement of outcomes</p> <p>AND</p> <p>Evidence has been/is being collected and analysed from graduates/end users <u>for most</u> graduating cohorts</p> <p>AND</p> <p>The graduates/next users evidence has sample sizes that are <u>mostly</u> statistically acceptable or explainable</p> <p>AND</p> <p>The Programme Leadership/ programme team has a good understanding of the process and evidence requirements for consistency.</p>	On-track with agreed timeline	Outcome is sufficient and meets rubric criteria	<p>The NZQA Report and the action plan have been submitted to the PAQC</p> <p>AND</p> <p>the actions required to manage gaps in self-assessment capability of the programme address all issues are SMART</p> <p>AND (Final Action)</p> <p>Actions are slightly off track, but able to be completed on time/ completed in accordance with the action plan.</p>
Marginal	<p>External and internal moderation does not provide sufficient evidence in validating the achievement of outcomes however can be achieved in time for the review.</p> <p>OR</p> <p>Evidence gaps from graduates and next-users are large and requires substantial work however can be achieved in time for the review.</p> <p>HoS advised</p>	<p>Behind agreed timeline and potential for quality of product to be compromised. There is a 50/50 risk to achievement of a "sufficient" rating.</p> <p>HoS notified</p>	Interim Consistency Review outcome is "Not Sufficient" but final result is "Sufficient"	<p>The NZQA Report the action plan have been submitted to the PAQC</p> <p>AND</p> <p>the actions required to manage gaps in self-assessment capability or the programme are significant, do not address all issues</p> <p>OR</p> <p>are not SMART</p> <p>AND (Final Action)</p> <p>Actions are unlikely to be completed on time, are not timely or in accordance with the action plan.</p> <p>HoS notified</p>
Poor	<p>External and internal moderation does not provide sufficient evidence in validating the achievement of outcomes</p> <p>AND</p> <p>Evidence from Graduates/End Users is currently not sufficient to validate consistency with the Qualification GPOs</p> <p>HoS advised</p>	<p>Well-behind agreed timeline and potential for quality of product to be compromised. There is a high likelihood of a "Not sufficient rating".</p> <p>HoS notified</p>	Final outcome is "Not Sufficient"	<p>The NZQA Report the action plan has been submitted to the PAQC within two PAQC cycles of receiving the report</p> <p>OR</p> <p>action plan not developed when needed</p> <p>HoS notified</p>
In progress to PAQC	Waiting submission to PAQC			
No rating yet				