

Consistency Review Tracking

To be read in conjunction with the Consistency Review Evaluation Rubric

School	Program Code	Programme Title	Qualification Number	Level	Preparation (preparedness of Unitec to meet requirements for review)	Progress of submission	Self-assessment Summary Report with evidence Date due to NZQA	Date of Consistency review	Initial Outcome (Interim report) Date received	Final Report outcome	Management of follow up actions (by School)	Note
Applied Business	NZCRE	New Zealand Certificate in Real Estate (Salesperson)	3111	4	Good		14-Feb-20	25-Feb-20	01-May-20	Excellent	Good	Submitted to PAQC 3 Aug 2020
Architecture	NZCLD	New Zealand Certificate in Horticulture Services (Level 4) with strands in Amenity, Arboriculture, Cemetery, Landscape Design, Landscape Construction, and Sports Turf	2674	4	Good		03-Mar-20	18-Mar-20	29-Apr-20	Excellent	Marginal	Submitted to PAQC 3 Aug 2020 however goals not SMART
New RAG criteria implemented 7 July 2020												
T&S	NZCME	New Zealand Certificate in Mechanical Engineering	2715	3	Marginal	Good	07-Jul-20	21-Jul-20				
Applied Business	NZCR	New Zealand Certificate in Retail	2235	3	Marginal	Good	20-Jul-20	03-Aug-20				
Bridgepoint	NZCSP	New Zealand Certificate in Study and Career Preparation	2860	4	Marginal	Marginal	24-Aug-20	07-Sep-20				
T&S	NZCAE	New Zealand Certificate in Automotive Engineering	3097	3	Marginal	Marginal	31-Aug-20	14-Sep-20				
Bridgepoint	NZCE4	New Zealand Certificate in English Language (Academic) Lv 4	1883	4				24-Nov-20				
Bridgepoint	NZCE5	New Zealand Certificate in English Language (Academic) Lv 5	1884	5				24-Nov-20				

Consistency Review - Evaluation Rubric

Rating	Criteria to evaluate the sufficiency of evidence that exists at the time of the initial meeting with the programme staff	Criteria to evaluate submission progress	Criteria to evaluate the NZQA published outcome	Criteria to evaluate the programmes management of follow up actions
Excellent	<p>External and internal moderation provides sufficient evidence in validating the achievement of outcomes</p> <p>AND</p> <p>Evidence has been/is being collected and analysed from graduates/end users for three or more iterations (where possible)</p> <p>AND</p> <p>The Programme Leadership/ programme team has a good understanding of Consistency Review process and are monitoring evidence for consistency.</p> <p>AND</p> <p>The graduates/end users evidence has sample sizes that are statistically valid</p> <p>AND</p> <p>Evidence from graduates/next users includes relevance of GPOs</p>	Ahead of agreed timeline	Outcome is sufficient and meets rubric criteria and practices used are exemplified in the NZQA report	<p>The NZQA Report and the action plan have been submitted to the PAQC</p> <p>AND</p> <p>the actions required to manage gaps in self-assessment capability of the programme are SMART, minor or not required</p> <p>AND</p> <p>actions disseminate beneficial practices</p> <p>AND (Final Action)</p> <p>Actions are on track / completed in accordance with the action plan.</p>
Good	<p>External and internal moderation provides sufficient evidence in validating the achievement of outcomes</p> <p>AND</p> <p>Evidence has been/is being collected and analysed from graduates/end users <u>for most</u> graduating cohorts</p> <p>AND</p> <p>The graduates/next users evidence has sample sizes that are <u>mostly</u> statistically acceptable or explainable</p> <p>AND</p> <p>The Programme Leadership/ programme team has a good understanding of the process and evidence requirements for consistency.</p>	On-track with agreed timeline	Outcome is sufficient and meets rubric criteria	<p>The NZQA Report and the action plan have been submitted to the PAQC</p> <p>AND</p> <p>the actions required to manage gaps in self-assessment capability of the programme address all issues are SMART and minor</p> <p>AND (Final Action)</p> <p>Actions are slightly off track, but able to be completed on time/ completed in accordance with the action plan.</p>
Marginal	<p>External and internal moderation does not provide sufficient evidence in validating the achievement of outcomes however can be achieved in time for the review.</p> <p>OR</p> <p>Evidence gaps from graduates and next-users are large and requires substantial work however can be achieved in time for the review.</p> <p>HoS advised</p>	<p>Behind agreed timeline and potential for quality of product to be compromised. There is a 50/50 risk to achievement of a "sufficient" rating.</p> <p>HoS notified</p>	Interim Consistency Review outcome is "Not Sufficient" but final result is "Sufficient"	<p>The NZQA Report the action plan have been submitted to the PAQC</p> <p>AND</p> <p>the actions required to manage gaps in self-assessment capability or the programme are significant, do not address all issues</p> <p>OR</p> <p>are not SMART</p> <p>AND (Final Action)</p> <p>Actions are unlikely to be completed on time, are not timely or in accordance with the action plan.</p> <p>HoS notified</p>
Poor	<p>External and internal moderation does not provide sufficient evidence in validating the achievement of outcomes</p> <p>AND</p> <p>Evidence from Graduates/End Users is currently not sufficient to validate consistency with the Qualification GPOs</p> <p>HoS advised</p>	<p>Well-behind agreed timeline and potential for quality of product to be compromised. There is a high likelihood of a "Not sufficient rating".</p> <p>HoS notified</p>	Final outcome is "Not Sufficient"	<p>The NZQA Report the action plan has been submitted to the PAQC within two PAQC cycles of receiving the report</p> <p>OR</p> <p>action plan not developed when needed</p> <p>HoS notified</p>