

Consistency Review RAG - 7 July 2020

Rating	Criteria-Programme preparation for the consistency review (at the time of the initial meeting with the programme staff)	Criteria-NZQA published outcome	Criteria-Programmes management of follow up actions
Excellent	<p>External and internal moderation provides sufficient evidence in validating the achievement of outcomes</p> <p>AND</p> <p>Evidence has been/is being collected and analysed from graduates/end users for three or more iterations (where possible)</p> <p>AND</p> <p>The Programme Leadership/ programme team has a good understanding of Consistency Review process and are monitoring evidence for consistency.</p> <p>AND</p> <p>The graduates/end users evidence has sample sizes that are statistically valid</p> <p>AND</p> <p>Evidence from graduates/next users includes relevance of GPOs</p>	<p>Outcome is "Sufficient" and meets rubric criteria and practices used are exemplified in the NZQA report</p>	<p>The NZQA Report and the action plan have been submitted to the PAQC</p> <p>AND</p> <p>the actions required to manage gaps in self-assessment capability of the programme are SMART, minor or not required</p> <p>AND</p> <p>actions disseminate beneficial practices</p> <p>AND (Final Action)</p> <p>Actions are on track / completed in accordance with the action plan.</p>
Good	<p>External and internal moderation provides sufficient evidence in validating the achievement of outcomes</p> <p>AND</p> <p>Evidence has been/is being collected and analysed from graduates/end users <u>for most</u> graduating cohorts</p> <p>AND</p> <p>The graduates/next users evidence has sample sizes that are <u>mostly</u> statistically acceptable or explainable</p> <p>AND</p> <p>The Programme Leadership/ programme team has a good understanding of the process and evidence requirements for Consistency.</p>	<p>Outcome is "Sufficient" and meets rubric criteria</p>	<p>The NZQA Report and the action plan have been submitted to the PAQC</p> <p>AND</p> <p>the actions required to manage gaps in self-assessment capability of the programme address all issues are SMART and minor</p> <p>AND (Final Action)</p> <p>Actions are slightly off track, but able to be completed on time/ completed in accordance with the action plan.</p>
Marginal	<p>External and internal moderation does not provide sufficient evidence in validating the achievement of outcomes however can be achieved in time for the review.</p> <p>OR</p> <p>Evidence gaps from graduates and next-users are large and requires substantial work however can be achieved in time for the review.</p>	<p>Interim Consistency Review outcome is "Not Sufficient" but final result is "Sufficient"</p>	<p>The NZQA Report the action plan have been submitted to the PAQC</p> <p>AND</p> <p>the actions required to manage gaps in self-assessment capability of the programme are significant, do not address all issues</p> <p>OR</p> <p>are not SMART</p> <p>AND (Final Action)</p> <p>Actions are unlikely to be completed on time, are not timely or in accordance with the action plan.</p>
Poor	<p>External and internal moderation does not provide sufficient evidence in validating the achievement of outcomes</p> <p>AND</p> <p>Evidence from Graduates/End Users is currently not sufficient to validate consistency with the Qualification GPOs</p>	<p>Final outcome is "Not Sufficient"</p>	<p>The NZQA Report the action plan has been submitted to the PAQC within two PAQC cycles of receiving the report</p> <p>OR</p> <p>action plan not developed when needed</p>