# Internal Evaluation Review Panel Feedback - June 2020

### **IER Panel Members**

Judith Brown

Dr Shane Edwards

Debra Robertson-Welsh

#### **Academic Board**

#### Session 1:

Simon Nash Director - Ako (Chair)

Chair, Ako Ahimura | Learning and Teaching Committee

Marcus Williams Director - Research and Enterprise

Chair, Postgraduate Research and Scholarships Committee

Toni Rewiri Director - Māori Success
Annette Pitovao Director - Student Success

Helen Vea Student President

Chris King Head of School - Bridgepoint

Kate Barry Academic Programme Manager - Nursing

Lee Baglow Head of School - Trades & Services

#### Strengths Opportunities for Improvement The AB maintains an academic risk register. Coverage over moderation, learning hours, and the 5 strategies are important. Are managing a robust academic action plan based on what last EER said as well as identified areas for improvement against Are managing progress but its unclear whether there is lag or not which progress is being reported. Heavily reliant on Simon N and Simon T. Priority learners are a focus in all academic meetings. Are action based rather than outcome and impact based. Systems for monitoring actions and improvements exists. Next step is benchmarks for making comparative statements and also defining what is good performance Are managing risks and actions to ensure loop is closed. Teaching and learning strategy appears behind and AB did not Have clarity between the various subcommittees of how they connect to AB and what is in their perview have clarity as a team on the strategy Have developed reporting systems and access to data. Unclear who owns graduate survey

#### Session 2:

Falaniko Tominiko Director - Pacific Success
Tracy Chapman Director - International Success
Simon Tries Manager - Te Korowai Kahurangi

Chair, Te Poari Iho | Quality Alignment Board

Chair, Rōpū Whakae Mātauranga | Academic Approvals Committee

Andrea Thumath (co-opted) Director - United Pathways College & Under 25' Student Success

Glenn McKay Te Tumu / Executive Director - Student Success

Katie Bruffy Head of School - Community Studies

Liz Rainsbury Academic Programme Manager - Applied Business

Anne McKay Academic Advisor - Te Puna Ako Daniel Weinholz (secretary) Committee Support Specialist

Strengths	Opportuni
Distance travelled since last EER, and response of ELT and	• The
Academic Board to EER recommendations.	ent

- Staff keen and responded well to many questions and keen to quote data .
- Reporting mechanisms in place resulting in lots of data, NPS, Pulse, Reporting from key priority group strategies, PEPs, Academic Risk Register.
- Interrogation of key priority group strategies and how they are performing at board level.
- I See Me as an example of an initiative recently introduced that is about to be evaluated for impact.
- Evidence of self assessment setting EPI targets outside of full consultation and input from Academic Board.

## Opportunities for Improvement

- There is still some disparity as to what being an AB member entails.
- When asked How do you know that your self assessment activities are effective? The response was about process and activity rather than evaluation of impacts.
- Ownership of compliance board members need to have the cross insititute issues and have confidence in how any non compliance is being addressed. Simon could speak confidently about internal and external compliance status.
- Lack of clarity about Unitec's benchmarking.
- Inconsistency in use of external benchmarks.
- PEP ratings training for those evaluating PEPs to gain greater consistency.
- Missing grades issue in Trades did not appear to be on the Academic Board agenda.
- Ownership of the Academic Risk Register was unclear.

	<ul> <li>Produce a glossary of Unitec acronyms for the EER panel</li> </ul>	
responded well.  Increase confident terminology in responses: I think, maybe, and potentially could be replaced with: I know this, early indicate, data is telling us that	-	