



EXTERNAL STAKEHOLDER ENGAGEMENT PROCEDURE

1 Purpose

This procedure supports outcomes through effective engagement with stakeholders to ensure academic provision meets identified needs.

2 Scope

This procedure applies to all academic provision.

3 Procedure

3.1 Engagement and improvement

Engagement and improvement activities are led by the relevant Programme Action Quality Committee (PAQC). As part of this role, PAQCs work through the following process.

1. Establish and maintain a list of key external stakeholders.
2. Develop and use mechanisms for formal and informal engagement with external stakeholders for the purpose of engaging in evaluative conversations about: stakeholders' needs; academic provision; and outcomes.
3. Record findings from evaluative/engagement events/activities, identifying areas of success and/or excellence and required improvement actions.
4. Undertake improvement actions and, using a range of objective evaluative measures, monitor progress.
5. Record completed improvement actions, noting the effectiveness of results and further opportunities for improvement.

3.2 Recording, reporting, and evaluation

Recording of findings and reporting on actions arising and improvements (see item 3 above) takes place within the existing processes for Programme Evaluation Plan reporting.

Periodically, Network Deans, Heads of Practice Pathways, and Academic Leaders or groups thereof evaluate the effectiveness of stakeholder engagements; effectiveness is a matter for evaluative questioning during programme review.

4 Responsibilities

Role	Responsibilities
------	------------------

Programme Action Quality Committees	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Undertaking engagement and improvement processes. • Reporting findings to the relevant HoPP.
Heads of Practice Pathways (HoPP)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Report findings of engagement and improvement processes to the relevant Network Dean and the QAB. • Evaluate the effectiveness of stakeholder engagement activities.
Network Deans	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Reviews findings of engagement and improvement processes and reports these to the QAB. • Evaluate the effectiveness of stakeholder engagement activities.
Quality Alignment Board (QAB)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Reviews findings of engagement and improvement processes and reports these to the Academic Board.
Academic Board	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Reviews findings of institutional engagement and improvement processes. • Monitors long-term effectiveness of engagement and improvement processes and implements improvements to those processes as required.
Te Korowai Kahurangi	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Provides advice and guidance on engagement, improvement, and review processes.

5 Definitions

Unless otherwise specified the definitions in the *Policy Framework Glossary* (to be completed) apply. If a definition is not listed in that resource, ask the Policy Framework Manager to consider adding it.

6 Reference Documents

- *Academic Evaluation, Review, and Improvements Policy*;
- *Programme Review Guidelines*;
- *Programme Evaluation Plan* template.

7 Document Details

Version Number	1.2	
Version Issue Date	14 August 2018	
Version History	Amendment Date	Amendment/s
	March 2016	First edition
	May 2016	Updated to reflect new structures
	August 2018	Updated as part of policy review project
Consultation Scope		
Approval Authority	Academic Board	
Original Date of Approval	30 March 2016	
Document Sponsor	Academic Board	
Document Owner	Executive Dean	
Contact Person	Manager, Te Korowai Kahurangi	
Date of Next Review	December 2019	