

minutes

Staff Team Advisory Ropu

Monday, 25 March 2019 Mt Albert Campus, Building 182 Room 2001

Present

Daniel Weinholz (Chair)	Babar Mahmood	Brad Kruger	Lusa Tuleasca
Toni Rewiri	Meenakshi Singh	Sarah Hardman	Elizabeth Morris
Peter Matthewson (W)			

Apologies

Kylie Connell	Roger Birchmore	Craig Robertson
Neil Laing	Julie McGregor	Lani Mikaio
Sarah Sommerville	Blair Sorenson	Shar Lewin
Maryam Mirzaei		
John Brockies (AdCom)	Tui Ah Loo (AdCom)	Peter Winder (AdCom)

In Attendance

Merran Davis	Mary Johnston	Glenn Mckay	
Lynnette Brown (Minutes)	Murray Strong	David Glover	

Absent

Linda Kestle	Yo Heta Larsen	Rob Gambolati

1. Karakia

The meeting was opened with a Karakia

2. Apologies

Noted

3. General Discussion

3.1. RoVE Submission

Sarah Hardman advised that she had received approximately 11 responses to her email calling for feedback to inform the submission and these had been sent through to Nick Wilson, Communications Manager.

Murray Strong spoke about the importance of this group linking through to the Advisory Committee (AdCom) noting that other AdCom members were not able to

attend this meeting. STAR to be invited to the next meeting of the Advisory Committee on Monday, 8 April.

ACTION: Invite STAR to the Advisory Committee meeting 8 April.

When reflecting on the recent visit from TEC, STAR members commented that they thought it was a worthwhile event and were impressed to see TEC representatives taking notes from the discussion.

Murray Strong spoke about the reform commenting that the proposal is designed to remove some of the behaviours that have developed under the competitive model. He commented that the network of provision is going to be important. The reform is not just about educational excellence, but also functional excellence, i.e. the best call centre, financial functions, learning systems, etc. which can be shared more broadly across the sector.

There is an opportunity to rethink the response to the question "what does success look like?". The Fono, at their recent meeting, were very clear that employability was the measure of success for Pacific students. The Rūnanga measured success against the principles of Te NoHo Kotahitanga.

A brief discussion was held around the opportunity for community engagement within the new structure, however, it was noted that this might mean different things for different ITPs within their regional context.

4. Karakia

The meeting was closed with a Karakia